

~~SECRET~~
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director of Training

DATE: 20 November 1958

FROM : Chief, School of International
Communism and the USSR

SUBJECT: Weekly Activities Report No. 46
14 November - 20 November

Document No. 6

NO CHANGE in Class. ☐

☐ DECLASSIFIED

Class. CHANGED TO: TS S D

DDA Memo, 4 Apr 77

Auth: DDA REG. 77/1763

Date: 09 MAR 1979

I. SIGNIFICANT ITEMS

1. [] lectured to the JOT group on Soviet Foreign Policy with particular reference to the effect such national policies of the USSR have upon the various Communist parties of the Free World. The lecture was a considerable success and elicited a number of worthwhile questions.



3. [] and [] of the CI Staff recently communicated with [] concerning the need for greater coordination between SIC and the portion of the Operations School teaching related courses. Possibly from [] recent trip [] or from some discussions [] has had, these two felt that the Operations School was not aware of the material being made available to SIC on anti-Communist operations - or of the close association of CI/ICD with SIC. [] and I talked this over with [] and, although we do not believe there is any problem here, we have arranged to have a joint discussion with [] the next time [] comes up.

4. Subsequent to the question raised by [] at the staff meeting last week concerning the distribution of some twelve thousand documents a month to the Office of Training from OCR, I went over the material thoroughly with all the instructors in SIC and with [] their Training Assistant. It would appear:

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

- a. that SIC receives approximately 2,500 documents per month.
- b. that all instructors are most anxious to continue receiving the raw material in its present form and do not wish to rely upon finished intelligence more than they are presently doing.
- c. that the requirements which might be attributed to this School in the memorandum of 21 July 1955, which [redacted] produced, might be restated with possibly somewhat greater specificity as to the originating office. However, the requirements so listed appear to me to be just as all-inclusive as the requirements set forth in the 21 July 1955 memorandum.
- d. that the raw reports disseminated by DDP are considered of particular value by the instructors of SIC.

25X1

Although I can appreciate the desire of instructors to constantly keep up with the latest information in their various fields, one look at the SIC vault area indicates to me that there is a mass of material constantly coming to the School which is seldom, if ever, used - other than possibly to be read upon its arrival. It seems equally clear that there are within a number of the areas of the Agency a great many people specializing in, maintaining files on, and producing both work drafts and finished documents on every field which SIC instructors consider their required area of knowledge. Although several possibilities come to mind as to how to reduce this apparent duplication of effort, I am aware that some have been tried without success and that others are vehemently objected to by the instructor personnel. At the moment, therefore, I have no suggested solution as to how we can reduce the requirements which the School presently has for such disseminated material.

II. OTHER ACTIVITIES

1. A request from [redacted] for an unclassified bibliography on Communism disclosed the fact that [redacted] has been asked to assist in establishing some sort of a school to combat Communism. It further appears that through [redacted] an attempt is being made to gather together a group of psychologists to be supported by both the [redacted] and private industry to analyze the Communist influence and to set up some method of combatting it. I have talked to [redacted] who is temporarily here, and assured both him and [redacted] of any help which we may be able to contribute.

25X1

25X1

25X1

TK

25X1

25X1

25X1

2. [redacted] of ORR each contributed a day to the Foreign Service Institute Mid-Career Officers' Course during this past week. [redacted] advised me that [redacted] was a great success and that

25X1

SECRET

he has already asked him to participate at the next running of the course three months from now. He states that although [] "did all right this time" he felt that he was not a forceful speaker or an individual who was able to bring anything particularly new to his class. For this reason he has not yet determined whether or not he will ask for [] again. He was, however, happy that the presentation was better than the last time and according to him, "this time well-rounded." I suspect that the problem which appears to exist here is more in the requirement being levied by FSI than in the nature of the presentation by []. I think that what they are, in fact, looking for in FSI is a talk by someone like Bob Amory or Sherman Kent. This would be somewhat difficult to arrange when one considers that [] spent the whole day at FSI this time and that approximately four hours were taken up with lecture and a total of about one hour with questions. I do not, therefore, propose to make any further suggestions to FSI for this course unless specifically requested to do so.

3. Mr. Karamessines is coming to lunch today to meet the members of SIC and to be briefed in accordance with SIC's luncheon program.

4. [] at the request of NEA Division, tutored an [] [] states that [] appeared highly knowledgeable and that each of them found it a considerable stimulant. I have asked [] to prepare a twenty-minute briefing for the rest of SIC covering the major points made by [] with respect to the situation []

5. Tutorial Training -

[]	17 November	4 hours
	18 November	8 hours.

6. Non-Agency Presentations:

[]	18 November - FSI
	"An Analysis of Current Soviet Political and Social Attitudes" and
	"The New Soviet Man."
	[]